

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEPHAN BROOKS, et al.,)	NO. CV 15-7724-JFW(E)
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS,
)	
PACO-MICHELLE ATWOOD, et al.,)	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
)	
Defendants.)	OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
_____)	

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636, the Court has reviewed all of the records herein and the attached Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which any objections have been made. The Court accepts and adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the Moving Defendants' motion to strike is denied without prejudice; and (2) the First Amended Complaint is dismissed with leave to amend.

///

1 If Plaintiff still wishes to pursue this action, he is granted
2 thirty (30) days from the date of this Order within which to file a
3 Second Amended Complaint. The Second Amended Complaint shall be
4 complete in itself. It shall not refer in any manner to any prior
5 complaints. The Second Amended Complaint shall not include: (1) any
6 claim against former Defendants Atwood, Walston, Butler, Maurice
7 Smith, Clifford Townsend, Jr., Steven Townsend, Guy A. Leemhui, and
8 Jonnie Johnson Parker, who previously have been dismissed from the
9 action; or (2) any claim previously dismissed without leave to amend
10 in the Court's June 20, 2016 Order. Plaintiff may not add any new
11 Defendants without leave of Court. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 21. Failure
12 timely to file a Second Amended Complaint in conformity with this
13 Order may result in the dismissal of this action.

14
15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk serve forthwith a copy of
16 this Order and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation on
17 Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants.

18
19 DATED: August 5, 2016.

20
21
22 _____/s/
23 JOHN F. WALTER
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25
26
27
28